

Draft genome sequences of two *Thioalkalivibrio sulfidiphilus* strains isolated from a pilot-scale haloalkaline biodesulfurization installation

Caroline M. Plugge,^{1,2} Suyash Gupta,^{1,3} Sarahi Garcia Villa,¹ Peer H. A. Timmers,^{1,4} Gerard Muyzer³

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS See affiliation list on p. 2.

ABSTRACT The genome sequences of two *Thioalkalivibrio sulfidiphilus* strains, SGAR-7 and SGAR-13, isolated from a pilot-scale haloalkaline biodesulfurization (BD) installation, are reported. The genomes comprise Flavocytochrome c sulfide dehydrogenase and SoxAX cytochromes, which are essential for respiration and thiosulfate oxidation, offering insights into *Thioalkalivibrio*'s role in BD.

KEYWORDS *Thioalkalivibrio*, sulfide, biodesulfurization

Although numerous strains have been isolated from natural sources, *Thioalkalivibrio* species have also been identified as key players in man-made BD systems, where they convert sulfide to elemental sulfur (1–5). We enriched and isolated the most dominant sulfide and thiosulfate-oxidizing bacteria from a pilot-scale haloalkaline BD system through serial dilution. Two isolates, *Thioalkalivibrio sulfidiphilus* strains SGAR-7 and SGAR-13, were obtained, and their genomes were sequenced. Default parameters were used for all analyzes except where otherwise noted.

SGAR-7 and SGAR-13 were enriched and routinely grown in serial dilution under oxygen-limiting conditions (12% vol/vol) in a haloalkaline mineral medium at pH 8.5 in serum bottles at 35°C. The medium was prepared as described previously (6), with 20 mM sodium thiosulfate and without urea. The highest dilutions with growth were streak plated on the same medium (6) with 2% (wt/vol) washed agar. SGAR-7 and SGAR-13 were obtained in pure culture, phylogenetically analyzed by sequencing the 16S rRNA gene (7) and genome sequencing. The taxonomic identity of both strains was confirmed using the GTDB-Tk classifier (8). Genomic DNA was extracted using the modified CTAB method (9,10) and sequenced with the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 and Oxford Nanopore sequencing platforms. For Illumina, the DNA concentration, purity, and integrity were analyzed using the Agilent 5400 fragment analyzer. For library preparation, the DNA was randomly sheared into short fragments using a standard kit for NovaSeq 6000. Fragments were repaired, A-tailed, and ligated with Illumina adapters. Adapter-containing fragments were amplified by PCR, size-selected for 350 bp, and purified. Libraries were checked using Qubit and real-time qPCR and pooled. Paired-end 150 bp sequencing was done with the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 sequencer. DNA quality checks, library preparation, and sequencing were performed at Novogene Ltd., Cambridge, UK.

Raw sequencing data were cleaned of adapter sequences, reads with $N > 10\%$, and low-quality reads (Q -score < 5).

The DNA library for Oxford Nanopore sequencing was prepared using Nanopore Kit SQK-LSK109 (Oxford Nanopore, UK) without DNA shearing. Sequencing was performed on the MinION platform using a FLO-FLG001 flow cell with 9.4.1 chemistry, followed by base calling with the MinKNOW v21.02.2 and Guppy v4.3.4 protocol. Raw read quality control was conducted using MinKNOW.

Editor Kenneth M. Stedman, Portland State University, Portland, Oregon, USA

Address correspondence to Gerard Muyzer, g.muijzer@uva.nl.

Caroline M. Plugge and Suyash Gupta contributed equally to this article. The author order was determined alphabetically based on first names.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 26 May 2025

Accepted 31 July 2025

Published 24 September 2025

Copyright © 2025 Plugge et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

TABLE 1 Genome statistics of *Thioalkalivibrio sulfidophilus* strain SGAR-7 and SGAR-13

	Strain SGAR-7	Strain SGAR-13
BioSample accession no.	SAMN47214803	SAMN47214838
Raw reads Illumina	8,190,030	8,713,036
Raw reads Nanopore	39,340	77,656
Genome size (bp)	3,277,547	3,312,445
Genome coverage Illumina (×)	2.5	2.6
Genome coverage Nanopore (×)	1.9	2.6
Number of contigs	1 (circular)	3
N_{50} value Nanopore (Kb)	14.85	13.54
CheckM completeness (%)	99.94	99.94
CheckM contamination (%)	0.75	1.72
DNA coding region (CDS)	3,123	3,166
G + C content (%)	65.32	65.38
Total genes	3,175	3,218
RNA genes	52	52
rRNA operons (5S, 16S, 23S)	1, 1, 1	1, 1, 1
Protein-coding genes	3,075	3,122
Pseudo genes	48	44

Hybrid genome assembly was performed using Unicycler v0.4.9 under standard conditions for both strains (11). For SGAR-7, a complete circular genome was generated, measuring 3,277,547 bp. SGAR-13 was assembled into three contigs (3,270,812, 41,633, and 377 bp). The quality of the assembled genomes was assessed with CheckM v1.4.0 (12). Subsequently, the assembled genomes were annotated using the NCBI with the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (13). Further genome characteristics are shown in Table 1.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was performed within the cooperation framework of Wetsus, European centre of excellence for sustainable water technology (<https://www.wetusus.nl/>). Wetsus is co-funded by the Dutch Ministry of Economic Affairs and Ministry of Infrastructure and Environment, the European Union Regional Development Fund, the Province of Fryslân, and the Northern Netherlands Provinces. This work is part of a project that has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 665874. We thank Peter Kuperus (University of Amsterdam) for technical support.

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

¹Wetsus, European Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Water Technology, Leeuwarden, the Netherlands

²Laboratory of Microbiology, Wageningen University and Research, Wageningen, the Netherlands

³Microbial Systems Ecology, Department of Freshwater and Marine Ecology, Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

⁴Department of Environmental Sciences for Sustainability, IE University, Segovia, Spain

AUTHOR ORCIDs

Caroline M. Plugge  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3391-7742>

Suyash Gupta  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7774-4636>

Peer H. A. Timmers  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8049-9985>

Gerard Muyzer  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2422-0732>

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Caroline M. Plugge, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing | Suyash Gupta, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing | Sarahi Garcia Villa, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review and editing | Peer H. A. Timmers, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing | Gerard Muyzer, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing

DATA AVAILABILITY

Draft genome sequences were deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank via BioProject [PRJNA1231550](https://doi.org/10.1128/PRJNA1231550). All sequence reads are available from the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under accession number [SRP570516](https://doi.org/10.1128/SRP570516). The genome accession for SGAR-7 is [CP183315](https://doi.org/10.1128/CP183315) at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank, and the whole-genome shotgun project for SGAR-13 is available under [JBLZB01000000](https://doi.org/10.1128/JBLZB01000000).

REFERENCES

1. Sorokin DY, Kuenen JG. 2005. Haloalkaliphilic sulfur-oxidizing bacteria in soda lakes. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 29:685–702. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsre.2004.10.005>
2. de Rink R, Klok JBM, van Heeringen GJ, Sorokin DY, Ter Heijne A, Zeijlmaker R, Mos YM, de Wilde V, Keesman KJ, Buisman CJN. 2019. Increasing the selectivity for sulfur formation in biological gas desulfurization. *Environ Sci Technol* 53:4519–4527. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06749>
3. de Rink R, Gupta S, Piccioli de Carolis F, Liu D, ter Heijne A, Klok JBM, Buisman CJN. 2021. Effect of process conditions on the performance of a dual-reactor biodesulfurization process. *J Environ Chem Eng* 9:106450. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2021.106450>
4. Gupta S, Plugge CM, Klok JBM, Muyzer G. 2022. Comparative analysis of microbial communities from different full-scale haloalkaline biodesulfurization systems. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 106:1759–1776. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-022-11771-y>
5. Gupta S, Rink R, Klok JBM, Muyzer G, Plugge CM. 2023. Process conditions affect microbial diversity and activity in a haloalkaline biodesulfurization system. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 90:e01864-23. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.01864-23>
6. Kiragosyan K, Klok JBM, Keesman KJ, Roman P, Janssen AJH. 2019. Development and validation of a physiologically based kinetic model for starting up and operation of the biological gas desulfurization process under haloalkaline conditions. *Water Res X* 4:100035. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wroa.2019.100035>
7. Weisburg WG, Barns SM, Pelletier DA, Lane DJ. 1991. 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. *J Bacteriol* 173:697–703. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.173.2.697-703.1991>
8. Chaumeil P-A, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P, Parks DH. 2020. GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes with the genome taxonomy database. *Bioinformatics* 36:1925–1927. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bt z848>
9. Doyle JJ, Doyle JL. 1987. A rapid DNA Isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochemical Bulletin* 19:11–15.
10. Doyle JJ. 1991. DNA protocols for plants, p 283–293. In *Molecular techniques in taxonomy*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg.
11. Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. 2017. Unicycler: resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. *PLoS Comput Biol* 13:e1005595. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595>
12. Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. 2015. CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. *Genome Res* 25:1043–1055. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114>
13. Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44:6614–6624. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw569>